Generic Machine Learning Inference on Heterogeneous Treatment Effects Using the Package GenericML

> Max Welz^{1,2} Andreas Alfons¹ Mert Demirer³ Victor Chernozhukov³

> ¹Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam ²Erasmus University Medical Center (Erasmus MC) ³Massachusetts Institute of Technology

> > useR!, June 21, 2022

1/26

Motivation

Recent literature in causal inference is focused on heterogeneous treatment effects

- Often based on Machine Learning (ML) techniques
- Goal: Consistent estimation and uniformly valid inference on conditional average treatment effect (CATE)
- \rightarrow Difficult w/o strong assumptions, especially in high dimensions!
- → Generic Machine Learning Inference (Generic ML; Chernozhukov, Demirer, Duflo, and Fernández-Val, 2020) remedies this in randomized experiments

Motivation

Recent literature in causal inference is focused on heterogeneous treatment effects

- Often based on Machine Learning (ML) techniques
- Goal: Consistent estimation and uniformly valid inference on conditional average treatment effect (CATE)
- \longrightarrow Difficult w/o strong assumptions, especially in high dimensions!
- → Generic Machine Learning Inference (Generic ML; Chernozhukov, Demirer, Duflo, and Fernández-Val, 2020) remedies this in randomized experiments

Motivation

Recent literature in causal inference is focused on heterogeneous treatment effects

- Often based on Machine Learning (ML) techniques
- Goal: Consistent estimation and uniformly valid inference on conditional average treatment effect (CATE)
- \longrightarrow Difficult w/o strong assumptions, especially in high dimensions!
- → Generic Machine Learning Inference (Generic ML; Chernozhukov, Demirer, Duflo, and Fernández-Val, 2020) remedies this in randomized experiments

Setup

Let

- Y be the outcome
- Z be a possibly high-dimensional vector of covariates
- *D* be a binary treatment assignment variable
- \longrightarrow Observe $(Y_i, Z_i, D_i)_{i=1}^N$ as i.i.d. copies of (Y, Z, D)
- \longrightarrow Assume unconfoundedness and random treatment assignment

A Very General Model

We consider the very general model

$$Y = b_0(Z) + Ds_0(Z) + U, \qquad \mathsf{E}[U \mid Z, D] = 0,$$

where

$$b_0(Z) = \mathsf{E}[Y \mid D = 0, Z]$$

is the baseline conditional average (BCA), and

$$s_0(Z) = E[Y \mid D = 1, Z] - E[Y \mid D = 0, Z]$$

is the conditional average treatment effect (CATE)



4 / 26

A Very General Model

We consider the very general model

$$Y = b_0(Z) + Ds_0(Z) + U, \qquad E[U \mid Z, D] = 0,$$

where

 $b_0(Z) = \mathsf{E}[Y \mid D = 0, Z]$

is the baseline conditional average (BCA), and

$$s_0(Z) = E[Y \mid D = 1, Z] - E[Y \mid D = 0, Z]$$

is the conditional average treatment effect (CATE)



4 / 26

A Very General Model

We consider the very general model

$$Y = b_0(Z) + Ds_0(Z) + U, \qquad E[U \mid Z, D] = 0,$$

where

$$b_0(Z) = \mathsf{E}[Y \mid D = 0, Z]$$

is the baseline conditional average (BCA), and

 $s_0(Z) = E[Y \mid D = 1, Z] - E[Y \mid D = 0, Z]$

is the conditional average treatment effect (CATE)



Focus of Generic ML

Generic ML focuses on estimation and inference on

key features of $s_0(Z)$ rather than $s_0(Z)$ itself

\longrightarrow No need for consistent estimation of $s_0(Z)$ or $b_0(Z)$!

Focus of Generic ML

Generic ML focuses on estimation and inference on

key features of $s_0(Z)$ rather than $s_0(Z)$ itself

 \longrightarrow No need for consistent estimation of $s_0(Z)$ or $b_0(Z)$!

Generic ML

- I Randomly partition the data in two disjoint sets A and M
- **2** On set A, use some machine learner to obtain estimates B(Z) and S(Z) of $b_0(Z)$ and $s_0(Z)$, respectively
- **③** On set M, calculate the key features of $s_0(Z)$

Two sources of uncertainty:

- Estimation uncertainty (conditional on set A) from Step 2
- Splitting uncertainty from the sample splitting in Step 1
- \longrightarrow Address by repeating Steps 1–3 many times

Generic ML

- I Randomly partition the data in two disjoint sets A and M
- **2** On set A, use some machine learner to obtain estimates B(Z) and S(Z) of $b_0(Z)$ and $s_0(Z)$, respectively
- **3** On set M, calculate the key features of $s_0(Z)$

Two sources of uncertainty:

- Estimation uncertainty (conditional on set A) from Step 2
- Splitting uncertainty from the sample splitting in Step 1

 \longrightarrow Address by repeating Steps 1–3 many times

Generic ML

- I Randomly partition the data in two disjoint sets A and M
- **2** On set A, use some machine learner to obtain estimates B(Z) and S(Z) of $b_0(Z)$ and $s_0(Z)$, respectively
- **3** On set M, calculate the key features of $s_0(Z)$

Two sources of uncertainty:

- Estimation uncertainty (conditional on set A) from Step 2
- Splitting uncertainty from the sample splitting in Step 1
- \longrightarrow Address by repeating Steps 1–3 many times

Inference

Variational Estimation and Inference (VEIN):

- Fix significance level $\alpha \in (0, 0.5)$
- Calculate the key features across S splits of the data
- Take medians across the S splits of each key feature parameter
- \longrightarrow Inference on each key feature parameter with size control of level 2lpha
- \rightarrow Can be repeated for many machine learners (report the "best" one)

Software Implementation

Package GenericML (Welz, Alfons, Demirer, and Chernozhukov, 2022)

- CRAN: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=GenericML
- GitHub: https://github.com/mwelz/GenericML
- \longrightarrow Flexible, user-friendly, fast, object-oriented
- \longrightarrow Based on mlr3 ecosystem of Lang et al. (2019)

Empirical Example: Setup

We revisit Crépon et al.'s (2015) study on the effects of microcredits¹

- \longrightarrow Sample: 162 villages in rural Morocco, divided into 81 similar pairs
- \longrightarrow Randomly select one village in each pair and make microcredits available for the residents
- \longrightarrow Measure if total borrowing changes

¹We thank Esther Duflo for making the data available to us

Empirical Example: Data

Household-level data on N = 5,513 households

- Dependent variable Y: total volume of borrowing
- Treatment indicator D: 1 if household can access microcredits
- Covariates Z: 97 variables (after encoding), among which

 \longrightarrow head_age_bl is age of household's head

- Grouping variables:
 - \longrightarrow demi_paire is a factor of village membership
 - \longrightarrow vil_pair is a factor of village pair membership

Empirical Example: Loading Data

- \longrightarrow The data are available on GitHub in repo "mwelz/GenericML"
- \longrightarrow Slides and replication files are in the subfolder <code>"slides"</code> of this repo

```
R> ## load data, available in GitHub repo mwelz/GenericML
R> url_data <-
+ url(paste0(
+ "https://github.com/mwelz/GenericML/blob/main/slides",
+ "/data/morocco_preprocessed.Rdata?raw=true"
+ ))
R> load(url data)
```

Empirical Example: Baseline Results

Crépon et al. (2015) find that microcredit availability has...

- low take-up (17% in treatment group)
- significant effect on total borrowing: ATE of MAD² 1,206 (p < 0.01)
- \longrightarrow Use <code>GenericML</code> to investigate heterogeneity in this effect!

 $^{2}MAD = Moroccan Dirham$

Empirical Example: Specification of Learners

- \longrightarrow Specify a suite of learners with mlr3 syntax
- \longrightarrow Here: random forest, elastic net, support vector machine, gradient boosting

```
R> # install version 0.2.3 which is not yet on CRAN
R> # devtools::install_github("mwelz/GenericML")
R> library("GenericML")
R>
R> # specify learners
R> learners <-
+     c("random_forest",
+        "mlr3::lrn('cv_glmnet', s = 'lambda.min', alpha = 0.5)",
+        "mlr3::lrn('svm')",
+        "mlr3::lrn('xgboost')")</pre>
```

Empirical Example: Specification of Learners

- \longrightarrow Specify a suite of learners with mlr3 syntax
- \longrightarrow Here: random forest, elastic net, support vector machine, gradient boosting

Spatial data of 81 village pairs

- \longrightarrow Include fixed effects for each pair
- \longrightarrow Cluster standard errors on the village level
- \longrightarrow GenericML allows this through setup functions
- \longrightarrow Support for sandwich covariance estimators (Zeileis, 2004)

setup_X1() customizes inclusion of controls and fixed effects

```
R> # include BCA and CATE controls
R> # add fixed effects along variable "vil_pair"
R> X1 <- setup_X1(funs_Z = c("B", "S"),
+ fixed effects = vil_pair)</pre>
```

```
R> # calls functions from the "sandwich" package
R> # cluster standard errors along "demi_paire"
R> vcov <- setup_vcov(estimator = "vcovCL",
+ arguments = list(cluster = demi_paire))</pre>
```

setup_X1() customizes inclusion of controls and fixed effects

```
R> # include BCA and CATE controls
R> # add fixed effects along variable "vil_pair"
R> X1 <- setup_X1(funs_Z = c("B", "S"),
+ fixed_effects = vil_pair)</pre>
```

```
R> # calls functions from the "sandwich" package
R> # cluster standard errors along "demi_paire"
R> vcov <- setup_vcov(estimator = "vcovCL",
+ arguments = list(cluster = demi_paire))</pre>
```

setup_X1() customizes inclusion of controls and fixed effects

```
R> # include BCA and CATE controls
R> # add fixed effects along variable "vil_pair"
R> X1 <- setup_X1(funs_Z = c("B", "S"),
+ fixed_effects = vil_pair)</pre>
```

```
R> # calls functions from the "sandwich" package
R> # cluster standard errors along "demi_paire"
R> vcov <- setup_vcov(estimator = "vcovCL",
+ arguments = list(cluster = demi_paire))</pre>
```

setup_X1() customizes inclusion of controls and fixed effects

```
R> # include BCA and CATE controls
R> # add fixed effects along variable "vil_pair"
R> X1 <- setup_X1(funs_Z = c("B", "S"),
+ fixed_effects = vil_pair)</pre>
```

```
R> # calls functions from the "sandwich" package
R> # cluster standard errors along "demi_paire"
R> vcov <- setup_vcov(estimator = "vcovCL",
+ arguments = list(cluster = demi_paire))</pre>
```

GenericML Interface

```
R> genML <- GenericML(
     Z = Z, D = D, Y = Y,
                                                # observed data
+
     learners_GenericML = learners,
+
                                                # learners
     learner_propensity_score = "constant",
                                                # = 0.5 (RCT)
+
+
     num_splits = 100L,
                                                # number splits
+
     quantile_cutoffs = c(0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8), \# grouping
     significance_level = 0.05,
                                                # significance level
+
     X1_BLP = X1, X1_GATES = X1,
                                                # regression setup
+
     vcov_BLP = vcov, vcov_GATES = vcov,
+
                                                # covariance setup
     parallel = TRUE, num_cores = 6L,
                                                # parallelization
+
     seed = 20220621)
                                                # RNG seed
```

... and many more arguments for fine-tuning!

 \longrightarrow stratified sampling, Horvitz-Thompson transformation. . .

GenericML Interface

```
R> genML <- GenericML(
     Z = Z, D = D, Y = Y,
                                               # observed data
+
     learners_GenericML = learners,
+
                                               # learners
     learner_propensity_score = "constant",
                                               # = 0.5 (RCT)
+
+
    num_splits = 100L,
                                               # number splits
+
     quantile_cutoffs = c(0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8), \# grouping
     significance_level = 0.05,
+
                                               # significance level
     X1_BLP = X1, X1_GATES = X1,
                                               # regression setup
+
     vcov_BLP = vcov, vcov_GATES = vcov,
+
                                               # covariance setup
     parallel = TRUE, num_cores = 6L,
                                               # parallelization
+
     seed = 20220621)
                                               # RNG seed
```

... and many more arguments for fine-tuning!

 \longrightarrow stratified sampling, Horvitz-Thompson transformation. . .

Analysis of GenericML Objects

Methods for the analysis of the key features of CATE

- get_BLP()
- get_GATES()
- get_CLAN()
- \longrightarrow linked to rich plot() and print() methods

Best Linear Predictor (BLP): Estimates some (β_1, β_2) via OLS:

- $\beta_1 = \mathsf{E}s_0(Z)$ is the ATE
- $\beta_2 \neq 0$ if there is heterogeneity in $s_0(Z)$ and S(Z) predicts it well

```
R> results_BLP <- get_BLP(genML, plot = TRUE)
R> results_BLP # print method
BLP generic targets
---
Estimate CI lower CI upper p value
beta.1 1113.50155 273.02645 1935.274 0.00945 **
beta.2 0.35315 -0.04384 0.698 0.08613 .
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
---
Confidence level of confidence interval [CI lower, CI upper]: 9
```

Best Linear Predictor (BLP): Estimates some (β_1, β_2) via OLS:

- $\beta_1 = \mathsf{E}s_0(Z)$ is the ATE
- $\beta_2 \neq 0$ if there is heterogeneity in $s_0(Z)$ and S(Z) predicts it well

Best Linear Predictor (BLP): Estimates some (β_1, β_2) via OLS:

- $\beta_1 = \mathsf{E}s_0(Z)$ is the ATE
- $\beta_2 \neq 0$ if there is heterogeneity in $s_0(Z)$ and S(Z) predicts it well

```
R> results_BLP <- get_BLP(genML, plot = TRUE)
R> results_BLP # print method
BLP generic targets
---
Estimate CI lower CI upper p value
beta.1 1113.50155 273.02645 1935.274 0.00945 **
beta.2 0.35315 -0.04384 0.698 0.08613 .
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
---
Confidence level of confidence interval [CI lower, CI upper]: 90 %
```

R> plot(results_BLP) # plot method



Empirical Example: get_GATES()

Sorted Group Average Treatment Effects (GATES): Build groups

$$G_k := \{S(Z) \in I_k\}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, K,$$

where $I_k = [\ell_{k-1}, \ell_k)$ divide the support of S(Z) into regions

 \longrightarrow Estimate group-ATE $\gamma_k := \mathsf{E}[s_0(Z) \mid G_k]$ via OLS

Empirical Example: get_GATES()

```
R> results_GATES <- get_GATES(genML, plot = TRUE)
R> results GATES
GATES generic targets
              Estimate CI lower CI upper p value
                                  2097 0.93525
                -80.44 -2517.30
gamma.1
gamma.2
               305.50 -674.10 1336 0.49251
gamma.3 725.63 -505.53 1932 0.19349
gamma.4 1744.51 395.93
                                  3097 0.01225 *
        2743.76 759.85
                                  4940 0.00911 **
gamma.5
gamma.5-gamma.1 2922.13 -89.43
                                  6087 0.05536
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
___
Confidence level of confidence interval [CI lower, CI upper]: 90 %
```

Empirical Example: get_GATES()

R> plot(results_GATES)



Classification Analysis (CLAN): Observed within-group averages, δ_k , of a variable for groups G_k

```
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Classification Analysis (CLAN): Observed within-group averages, δ_k , of a variable for groups G_k

```
R> results_CLAN <-
+
    get_CLAN(genML, variable = "head_age_bl", plot = TRUE)
R> results_CLAN
CLAN generic targets for variable 'head_age_bl'
___
              Estimate CI lower CI upper p value
                         34.46 38.554 < 2e-16 ***
delta.1
                 36.49
delta.2
               43.66 42.12 45.210 < 2e-16 ***
delta.3
               41.40 39.50 43.258 < 2e-16 ***
delta.4
             34.75 32.55 36.853 < 2e-16 ***
             23.85 21.53 26.151 < 2e-16 ***
delta.5
delta.5-delta.1 -12.52 -15.61 -9.514 4.44e-16 ***
____
Signif. codes:
              0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Confidence level of confidence interval [CI lower, CI upper]: 90 %
```

R> plot(results_CLAN)



CLAN with 90% CI

Conclusions and Discussion

Conclusions

- \longrightarrow High-dimensional uniformly valid inference on CATE is hard
- \longrightarrow Generic ML can do so under minimal assumptions by focusing on key features of CATE instead of CATE itself
- \longrightarrow R package GenericML available on CRAN

Future work

- \longrightarrow Implement monotonization of confidence bounds
- \longrightarrow Enable support for deep learning, perhaps via mlr3keras

Conclusions and Discussion

Conclusions

- \longrightarrow High-dimensional uniformly valid inference on CATE is hard
- \longrightarrow Generic ML can do so under minimal assumptions by focusing on key features of CATE instead of CATE itself
- \longrightarrow R package GenericML available on CRAN

Future work

- \longrightarrow Implement monotonization of confidence bounds
- \longrightarrow Enable support for deep learning, perhaps via mlr3keras

References

- Victor Chernozhukov, Mert Demirer, Esther Duflo, and Iván Fernández-Val. Generic Machine Learning Inference on Heterogenous Treatment Effects in Randomized Experiments. arXiv preprint: arXiv:1712.04802, 2020.
- Bruno Crépon, Florencia Devoto, Esther Duflo, and William Parienté. Estimating the Impact of Microcredit on Those Who Take It Up: Evidence from a Randomized Experiment in Morocco. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7(1):123–150, 2015.
- Michel Lang, Martin Binder, Jakob Richter, Patrick Schratz, Florian Pfisterer, Stefan Coors, Quay Au, Giuseppe Casalicchio, Lars Kotthoff, and Bernd Bischl. mlr3: A Modern Object-Oriented Machine Learning Framework in R. Journal of Open Source Software, 4 (44):1903, 2019.
- Max Welz, Andreas Alfons, Mert Demirer, and Victor Chernozhukov. GenericML: Generic Machine Learning Inference, 2022. URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=GenericML. R package version 0.2.2.
- Achim Zeileis. Econometric Computing with HC and HAC Covariance Matrix Estimators. Journal of Statistical Software, 11(10):1–17, 2004.

Algorithm 1 in Chernozhukov et al. (2020)

- **IN**: Data = $(Y_i, Z_i, D_i)_{i=1}^N$, significance level α , a suite of ML methods, number of splits *S*
- **OUT**: *p*-values and $(1 2\alpha)$ confidence intervals of point estimates of each target parameter in GATES, BLP, and CLAN
 - **1** Compute propensity scores $p(Z_i), i = 1, ..., N$
 - 2 Do S splits of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ into disjoint sets A and M of same size
 - **3** for each ML method and each split $s = 1, \ldots, S$, do
 - **a** Tune and train each ML method to learn $B(\cdot)$ and $S(\cdot)$ on A
 - **b** On *M*, use $B(\cdot)$ and $S(\cdot)$ to estimate the BLP, GATES, CLAN target parameters
 - C Compute some performance measures for the ML methods
 - **4** Choose the best ML method based on the medians of the performance measures
 - G Calculate the medians of the confidence bounds, *p*-values, and point estimates of each target parameter
 - 6 Adjust the confidence bounds and *p*-values

Best Learner

Compute two performance measures for each learner

$$\widehat{\Lambda} = |\widehat{eta}_2|^2 \ \widehat{\mathsf{Var}}(S(Z)), \qquad \widehat{\widehat{\Lambda}} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \widehat{\gamma}_k^2$$

- \longrightarrow Best learner maximizes their median across S splits
- \rightarrow In the empirical example, that's random forest (get via get_best())

26 / 26